Octa Origin

CONTROLLED CLIENT DELIVERABLE

TEST ONLY — Northstar 90-Day Operating Improvement Plan

Company: Northstar Manufacturing Pvt. Ltd.
Engagement: Solar Panel Production Efficiency Improvement
Status: Released to the protected client workspace
Released: 16 Aug 2026, 08:52 UTC

Client release message

TEST WORKFLOW RELEASE ONLY: This synthetic deliverable is released solely to validate protected client delivery. It is non-production, must not support operational decisions or external claims, and requires no implementation. The release-administrator role is simulated for testing and does not demonstrate independent production segregation. Please acknowledge receipt for workflow testing only.

Executive summary

Workflow-test content only: establish a controlled operating baseline, stabilize planning and material flow, reduce avoidable WIP and inventory, strengthen quality and warehouse/dispatch controls, and embed weekly KPI governance. The intended outcome is a validated, owner-led improvement roadmap capable of supporting the proposed lead-time and on-time-delivery objectives; no synthetic figures are treated as verified client facts. A qualified consultant must validate the design, evidence, targets, resourcing, and feasibility before client use.

Solution components

- Create a cross-functional mobilisation and evidence-control process covering production planning, procurement, inventory, quality, warehousing, and dispatch.
- Stabilize the plan-to-produce cycle through common planning rules, constraint visibility, exception management, and daily or weekly operating reviews. [S1] [S3]
- Improve material availability through supplier, procurement, lead-time, safety-stock, shortage, and single-source analysis using validated source-system data. [S1]
- Control WIP and inventory through agreed ownership, inventory accuracy checks, ageing analysis, movement controls, and root-cause actions for excess and shortage conditions. [S1] [S3]
- Strengthen quality prevention and flow by reviewing incoming, in-process, final inspection, non-conformance, rework, traceability, root-cause, and corrective-action processes. [S1]
- Improve warehouse and dispatch performance through process mapping, transaction-control review, traceability, order-fulfilment measures, and exception escalation. [S1]
- Define a KPI register with metric definition, source, owner, baseline, target, review frequency, and data-quality status before performance claims are made. [S3]
- Use weekly governance with a sponsor or decision forum, named workstream owners, a dependency log, risk log, decision log, and acceptance measures for each workstream. [S3]

- Sequence the plan as diagnose and baseline, stabilize and pilot, then institutionalize and hand over; implementation support beyond roadmap design remains subject to client confirmation.

Key measures

Recommended approach: A dependency-led, cross-functional diagnostic followed by targeted operating stabilisation, controlled pilot actions, KPI governance, and a practical 90-day roadmap. Recommendations must be based on dated and attributable client evidence or clearly labelled consultant judgment. [S2] [S3]
Process or technology enablers: Validated ERP/MRP, planning, inventory, quality, warehouse, and dispatch data; current process maps; agreed system-of-record decisions; controlled reporting; data owners; access permissions; and defined security, integration, and support requirements before technology implementation. [S1] [S2]
Dependencies: Confirmation of sponsor, decision rights, process owners, interview availability, Pune facility access, source-system access, data extraction capability, baseline definitions, product-family and plant boundaries, measurement periods, and whether the engagement includes implementation support.
Success measures: Validated baselines and targets for production lead time, on-time delivery, schedule adherence, throughput, material shortages, WIP quantity and value, inventory accuracy and ageing, supplier delivery performance, quality holds, rework, dispatch performance, and relevant flow or quality measures. Each measure requires a definition, source, owner, baseline, target, review frequency, and data-quality assessment. [S3]
Delivery phases: Days 1–30: mobilise, map processes, validate evidence, and establish baselines. Days 31–60: prioritise root causes, design controls, and run approved pilot actions. Days 61–90: embed governance, confirm benefits and ownership, and deliver the implementation-ready roadmap.
Key assumptions: The 90-day plan is a proposed operating-improvement structure, not evidence that any intervention has been approved or implemented. The synthetic workbook and synthetic governance response are excluded from diagnosis, baselining, consultant evaluation, and outcome decisions. A single lead consultant may coordinate the work, but specialist support and final team composition require validation.
Evidence status: The current evidence supports the requested scope, objectives, dates, and need for a cross-functional plan. It does not provide validated operational baselines, actual system data, confirmed ownership, confirmed access, or verified performance results.

Implementation risks and assumptions

- Using the synthetic workbook or synthetic governance response as operational evidence could produce false baselines, unsupported root causes, or inappropriate targets; both must remain excluded.
- The 20% lead-time and 95% on-time-delivery objectives cannot be assessed until definitions, periods, product-family coverage, plant boundaries, and source data are validated.
- Fragmented ownership or conflicting priorities across procurement, planning, production, quality, inventory, warehousing, and dispatch could delay decisions and dilute accountability.
- ERP/MRP, inventory, quality, warehouse, and dispatch data may have inconsistent definitions, manual re-entry, reporting latency, weak auditability, or unavailable extraction capability. [S1] [S2]
- Facility access, stakeholder availability, data extraction, and validation delays may compress the four-month engagement window and the proposed 90-day implementation

period.

- Pune results may be affected by Delhi operations, shared services, suppliers, or network-level constraints that are outside the currently confirmed facility scope.
- A roadmap-only assignment may be mistaken for implementation support unless the client confirms deliverables, authority, resourcing, and change responsibilities.
- Technology or automation recommendations could be premature if process stability, data readiness, integration feasibility, cybersecurity, user adoption, and support capability are not assessed. [S1]
- Benefits may not be sustained if KPI ownership, review cadence, escalation rules, process controls, and acceptance measures are not embedded. [S3]

Implementation path

- 1. Before mobilisation, appoint or confirm the engagement sponsor, decision forum, process owners, data owners, and required participants for procurement, planning, production, inventory, quality, warehousing, and dispatch; document decision rights and escalation routes. Owner: client sponsor or authorised decision maker; validation required.
- 2. Issue a controlled evidence request for dated source-system extracts and definitions covering orders, lead time, on-time delivery, WIP, shortages, planned versus actual output, schedule adherence, quality holds, rework, inventory ageing, supplier performance, and dispatch. Record unavailable or unreliable measures and expected availability dates. Owner: consultant with client data owners; prerequisite for baselining. [S2] [S3]
- 3. Confirm the baseline period, product families, Pune plant boundary, units of measure, currency treatment, inclusion and exclusion rules, calculation methods, and system of record for every KPI. Owner: consultant and process/data owners; acceptance measure: signed KPI register. [S3]
- 4. Confirm facility access, safety and security requirements, travel or remote-working arrangements, stakeholder calendars, and system permissions for the engagement period. Owner: client sponsor and facility owner; prerequisite for site discovery and data validation.
- 5. Map the current procure-to-plan, plan-to-produce, quality-release, warehouse-movement, and dispatch processes. Identify ownership gaps, duplicate activity, manual dependencies, policy-versus-practice gaps, and control points. Owner: consultant with process owners; deliverable: validated current-state maps and issue log. [S1]
- 6. Establish a baseline and data-quality review before diagnosing performance. Reconcile key measures to source systems, identify missing fields and reporting latency, and label all unresolved measures as insufficient evidence. Owner: consultant and data owners; acceptance measure: evidence register and baseline pack. [S2] [S3]
- 7. Prioritise root-cause hypotheses using validated evidence across planning reliability, capacity and schedule adherence, material shortages, supplier performance, WIP accumulation, inventory accuracy and ageing, quality holds and rework, warehouse movement, and dispatch exceptions. Owner: consultant and workstream owners; no hypothesis should be presented as a fact until validated. [S1]
- 8. Design approved stabilisation actions: a common planning and exception cadence; shortage and supplier escalation; WIP limits or release rules where supported by evidence; inventory accuracy and ageing reviews; quality containment, root-cause, and corrective-action routines; and warehouse/dispatch transaction and traceability

controls. Owner: relevant process owners; consultant facilitates design. [S1] [S3]

- 9. Run a controlled pilot or implementation-preparation cycle for the highest-priority actions, subject to client approval, available personnel, system permissions, safety requirements, and confirmed scope. Define entry criteria, owner, expected effect, monitoring KPI, risk controls, and exit or scale decision. Owner: process owners with consultant support; no production-system change without governance approval. [S3]
- 10. Establish a weekly governance meeting covering KPI status, evidence quality, workstream milestones, dependencies, risks, decisions, actions, and benefit validation. Use role-based ownership; do not treat the synthetic test sponsor or process-owner names as actual client assignments.
- 11. At day 90, deliver the validated findings and prioritised roadmap with workstreams, owners, milestones, dependencies, risks, acceptance measures, required capabilities, technology implications, and a practical next-90-day implementation plan. Confirm whether the client wants roadmap-only output or continuing implementation support. Owner: consultant and client decision forum. [S3]

Validation still required

- This is explicitly workflow-test content and must not be presented as a verified client operating plan until a qualified consultant validates it.
- No validated operational baseline or source-system extract is available; all KPI targets and benefit claims require client evidence and definition approval.
- The synthetic workbook and synthetic governance response are expressly excluded from evidence and must not be cited or used for decisions.
- Sponsor, decision makers, process owners, data owners, stakeholder availability, team composition, system landscape, access permissions, facility arrangements, and implementation-support expectations remain unconfirmed.
- The proposed pilot actions require expert validation for operational feasibility, safety, change impact, system controls, and decision authority.
- The relationship between Pune-only scope and potential Delhi, shared-service, supplier, or network dependencies requires confirmation.
- No [C#] controls were supplied in the materials; the plan therefore applies the supplied [S1]–[S3] Standard Pack controls and requires consultant confirmation that no additional mandatory controls apply.

Governed source references

[S1] Octa Manufacturing Operations Assessment Standard - v1.0

Identify unclear ownership, duplicate activities, manual dependencies and gaps between policy and actual practice. # Production planning and control Assess demand planning, forecasting, capacity planning, material requirements, scheduling, work-order control, production reporting and exception management. Evaluate whether plans use reliable data and whether actual production results update future decisions. # Supply chain and inventory Assess supplier reliability, procurement lead times,…

[S2] Octa Manufacturing Operations Assessment Standard - v1.0

Octa Manufacturing Operations Assessment Standard Source key: octa-manufacturing-operations-assessment Version: 1.0 Source type: standard Industry: Industrial Manufacturing Business operation: Manufacturing Operations and Supply Chain Technology domain: General Jurisdiction: India Checksum SHA-256: 458422ba8e04bb08c098dc9d91cbf5f8ac29545d457ea6b83841d332de0b67e9 ## Purpose Octa Origin’s internal assessment standard for diagnosing manufacturing operations, production planning, supply chain,…

[S3] Octa Manufacturing Operations Assessment Standard - v1.0

Every workstream must include an owner, deliverable, dependency, milestone, risk and acceptance measure. No technology implementation should begin before process ownership, data readiness, security requirements and decision governance are defined. # Performance measures Select measures relevant to the engagement, including schedule adherence, throughput, cycle time, capacity utilization, overall equipment effectiveness, first-pass yield, defect rate, downtime, inventory accuracy, inventory…

Verification record

These identifiers verify the exact protected record represented by this document.

Release candidate: dlv_de5d5632ddf186863934
Client release: rel_d7bb09fad8b04157a7e6
Locked solution: sol_639fb2ac5ca19ce9cd68 / Original version
Governed Standard Pack: knp_27b5da668054eab65f31 / v1.0
Snapshot SHA-256: bfb87fd6040a03f12374d95aea639c330bb0405f8f81495103ca1a5176b371ca

This immutable deliverable was released through the protected Octa client workspace. Record any acceptance or change request in that workspace.